CONNECTICUT RACIAL PROFILING PROHIBITION PROJECT

Policy Subcommittee
Minutes

Monday, June 1, 2026
10:00 a.m. – 11:00 a.m.
Zoom

Members Present: Tanya Hughes, Werner Oyanadel, Chelsea-Infinity Gonzalez, Tamara Lanier, Michael Gailor, Chief "Jack" Drumm, Chief Neil Dryfe

Staff: Ken Barone, Erica Escobar

I. Welcome & Introductions

The meeting was called to order at 10:05 a.m.

II. Approval of the February 9, 2026, meeting minutes

A motion to approve the February 9, 2026, meeting minutes was made, seconded, and approved unanimously.

III. Old Business

a. Legislative Session Wrap-Up

Ken provided a legislative session wrap-up, noting that no significant bills related to the Alvin Penn law were passed during the session, though there were some law enforcement-related legislation that the committee briefly discussed.

The committee discussed plans for the next legislative session. Ken reported that a data audit model policy has been provided to POSTC for review and potential adoption into accreditation standards, though a decision is expected in the fall.

Werner inquired about the bill from 2025 to increase penalties for falsifying documents by law enforcement, Ken explained that the bill failed twice

previously and wasn't raised this year. The committee discussed making a request for a bill in 2027.

IV. New Business

b. Automated Work Zone Program reporting exemption

The committee discussed the automated work zone program, where Ken explained that unlike municipal cameras, work zone cameras require state police troopers to review and verify speeding violations before issuing tickets. CSP inquired whether the review of a violation would constitute a traffic stop and should be reported under the Alvin W. Penn Law. The committee agreed that tickets issued in automated work zones to registered vehicle owners should not be considered traffic stops requiring reporting under the Alvin Penn law. There was no driver interaction that occurred. Ken will present this recommendation to the full board at their next meeting.

The committee also discussed the municipal camera programs, including speed and red light cameras, and Ken explained that data from these cameras is not centralized and remains at the municipal level due to strict limitations on information retention. Chief Dryfe asked whether access to this data would help inform researchers about unsafe road users. Based on the current limitations of the law, this data is unlikely to be shared.

Based on the discussion about the work zone camera program and the municipal camera program, it was suggested that a representative from CT DOT speak to the committee at a future meeting.

V. General Discussion

There was no general discussion, and the meeting was adjourned at 10:51 a.m.